



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/817,522	03/2	26/2001	Daniel W. Van Vleet	1752	7905
24264	7590	12/03/2002			
TIMOTHY J		I, PC	EXAMINER		
9250 W 5TH AVENUE SUITE 200				FERKO, KATHRYN P	
LAKEWOOD	o, CO 8022	26		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	3743				
			DATE MAILED: 12/03/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,								
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/817,522	VAN VLEET, DANIEL V	٧.				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Kathryn Ferko	3743					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	n appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	S				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicative period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mayon. It is a reply within the statutory minimum of period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Notes that the cause the application to become	r a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this commun BARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>06 November 2002</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
•	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-34</u> is/are pending in t							
_	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-34</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.						
	tion Papers The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer						
· —	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Evaminer					
ا(۱۰								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	foreign priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
-) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu		n Application No					
	Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation	e priority documents have bo nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	een received in this National Stag a)).	ge				
	See the attached detailed Office action for							
,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do			olication).				
	 a) The translation of the foreign langua Acknowledgment is made of a claim for d 							
Attachme	ent(s)	_						
2) 🔲 Not	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) 5) Notic	riew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15					

Application/Control Number: 09/817,522

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

A response to the amendment of September 23, 2002 was completed and sent before the supplement amendment. Thus, this is a response to the supplemental amendment of November 6, 2002.

Claims 1-6 and 8-34 are now pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pedersen et al. in US Patent No. 6,227,843 in view of Kent, Jr. in US Patent No. 5,404,864.

The declaration filed on September 18, 2002 has been found defective.

The explanation is provided in the office action dated November 13, 2002. Thus, the declaration has not been effective in removing the Pedersen et al. reference.

Pedersen et al. disclose a campfire apparatus (10) having a base (12) adapted to rest on a support surface when in the assembled state; a base (12) having a selected geometric size and shape; and a fire pan (14) having a substantially similar geometric size and shape as the base, as seen in figures 1-

3. As stated in the supplemental amendment of November 6, 2002, the term

Application/Control Number: 09/817,522

Art Unit: 3743

"geometrically similar," has been interpreted by applicant's representative to mean, "... objects that share a similar shape and that are proportional in size rather than identical in size...." Thus, the fire pan (14) and base (12) of Pedersen et al. can be considered "geometrically similar" as defined by the applicant's representative. Furthermore, Pedersen et al. also disclose a fire pan (14) adapted to be supported by the base when in the assembled state; a fire pan having a main body with an inner surface an upper rim and a pan interior, as seen in figures 1 and 2; a gas manifold (26) disposed in the fire pan when in the assembled state having at least one gas outlet operative to introduce vaporized fuel into the pan interior when connected to a source of fuel (42), as recited in column 3, lines 34-47 and seen in figure 3; and a quantity of low-density, non-flammable particulate material (48) adapted to be disposed in the fire pan at a depth sufficient to cover the gas manifold when in the assembled state, as recited in column 3, lines 47-51, column 4, lines 1-6 and seen in figure 2.

However, Pedersen et al. do not recite a spacer adapted to be interposed between the fire pan and base, when in the assembled state, so that the base supports the spacer and the spacer supports the fire pan. On the other hand, Kent, Jr. teaches a spacer (26) adapted to be interposed between the fire pan (generally at 34) and base (72), when in the assembled state, so that the base supports the spacer and the spacer supports the fire pan, as seen in figures 1-4. Therefore, it would be obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teaching of Kent, Jr. and provide the invention

Application/Control Number: 09/817,522

Art Unit: 3743

of Pedersen et al. with a spacer interposed between the fire pan and base when in the assembled state so that the base supports the spacer and the spacer supports the fire pan for the purpose of spacing the base from the fire pan in order to further raise the fire pan area from the ground.

Conclusion

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kathryn Ferko whose telephone number is (703) 306-3454. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-5:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry A Bennett can be reached on (703) 308-0101. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)



Art Unit: 3743

872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

KF

November 20, 2002

Henry Bennett

Supervison/Patent Examiner